

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/918,922	07/30/2001	Roger Paulman	11362/14 6577	
75	90 10/31/2005		EXAM	INER
William F. Pre	ndergast		DUONG,	THO V
Brinks Hofer Gi	lson & Lione			
P.O. Box 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60610			3753	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/918,922		PAULMAN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Tho v. Duon	ıg	3753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESIGNS OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS .136(a). In no event d will apply and will e te, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION I, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONED	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) <u></u> □	 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 August 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from cons					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) e drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	8) :	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/10/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paulman et al. (US 5,228,198). Paulman discloses (figures 1-5) a heat exchanger assembly of a side entry type having at least one fin set comprising an intermediate elongated heat exchanger tube (22) having at least two collapsed sidewall portions in figure 5, where the tube (22) is flatted by device (48); the elongated tube including an integral one-piece return bent portion and the tube wall is about 0.016 inches.

Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ochiai et al. (JP 60158932A). Ochiai discloses (figures 1-7) a heat exchanger assembly comprising an intermediated elongated heat exchanger tube having at least two collapsed sidewalls portion (4) extending substantially the length of the tube, the elongated tube including an integral one-piece

Art Unit: 3753

return bend portion (7). Ochiai further discloses (figure 6) the collapsed sidewall portions comprise a pair of opposed elongated recesses (10) extending substantially the length of the tube.

Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zifferer (US 5,409,057). Zifferer discloses (figures 16-17) an elongated heat exchanger tube comprising an elongated tube (1) having first and second ends and an internal passageway (3) extending between the first and second ends, the tube being formed from a sidewall; at least two collapsed portions of the sidewall of the elongated heat exchanger tube extending substantially along a length of the elongated tube, the two collapsed portions contacting each other within the passageway of the tube. Since the contacted portion of the collapsed portions is not permanent bonded, the at least two collapsed portions of the sidewall of the tube are capable of being expandable radially outward to push the at least two collapsed side wall portions outward so that they no longer contact each other.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zifferer (US 5,409,057) in view of Zifferer (US 5,311,661). Zifferer options contact all of applicant's claimed invention as discussed above except for the limitation that the collapsed portions have two U-shaped configuration with the bottom portions contact each other.

Zifferer options (figures 9-11 and column 4, lines 63-column 5, line 3) that the collapsed

portion (27) can also have U-shaped configuration with the bottom portion contact each other for a purpose of forming a square pattern tube that fit inside a square cross section shell without requiring any filler to prevent cross circulation of the fluid in the heat exchanger using the tubes. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Zifferer'661 teaching in Zifferer'057 for a purpose of forming a square pattern tube that fit inside a square cross section shell without requiring any filler to prevent cross circulation of the fluid in the heat exchanger using the tubes.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tanaka Atsushi (JP 52064045A) discloses a manufacturing method of finned tube type heat exchanger.

S. F. Pasternak (US 3,433,300) discloses a heat exchanger having tube inserted inside a fin.

Homer et al. (US 3,603,384) discloses an expandable tube and heat exchanger.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho v. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-4793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Blau can be reached on 571-272-4406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tho v Duong

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

TD

October 19, 2005